

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,716	BOYD, ANTHONY R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoang Dang	3672	

All Participants:

(1) Hoang Dang.

(2) C. Dean Domingue.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 3, 21, 22 and 41 in the last Office action

Claims discussed:

3, 21, 22 and 41

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was told that the dependency of claims 3, 21, 22 and 41 has not been corrected as required. The examiner suggested and applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment that corrects the dependency of claims 3, 21, 22 and 41 as shown in the attached examiner's amendment..

